

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pagent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1930 Alexabdid, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,545	06/27/2001	Dominique Chantrain	Q64735	1856	
7590 08/29/2006 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
			BARQADLE, YASIN M		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213				PAPER NUMBER	
washington, 2	20037 3213		2153		
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/891,545	CHANTRAIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yasin M. Barqadle	2153	

	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 July 2006</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	w);		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant / menament	(1 102 02 1).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:			
	Ŧ	PhilipTran Rimary Exami	
	P	RIMARY EXAMI	iner

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues in substance that:

-Provino does not teach a user connecting to another communication device that is out side of a VPN (to which the user is already connected) and using an identifier of the connected-to VPN as logical identifier to facilitate sending messages to and receiving messages from the communication device.

In response, Proving teaches connecting to another communication device as explained below. Using an identifier to connect to VPN or to another device by an already connected user is an inherent feature of Provino's invention. Provino teaches a Virtual private network 15 that comprises any of the devices 12(m') (m'.apprxeq.m) (thereby connecting to the Internet 14 through an ISP) or 13 (thereby connecting directly to the Internet 14). " the virtual private network 15 will be assumed to comprise a device 13. The virtual private network 15 itself includes a plurality of devices, identified herein as a firewall 30, a plurality of servers 31(1) through 31(S) (generally identified by reference numeral 31(s)) and a nameserver 32, all interconnected by a communication link 33." (Col. 6, lines 6-28). Further, Provino teaches a secure message packet processor to facilitate the establishment and use of a "secure tunnel, between the device 12(m) and another device 12 (m') (m' apprxeq m) or 13. "Generally, in a secure tunnel, information in at least the data portion of message packets transferred between device 12(m) and a specific other device 12(m') (m'.apprxeq.m) or 13 is maintained in secret by, for example. encrypting the data portion prior to transmission by the source device. Information in other portions of such message packets may also be maintained in secret, except for the information that is required to facilitate the transfer of the respective message packet between the devices, including, for example, at least the destination information, so as to allow the Internet's switching nodes and ISP's to identify the device that is to receive the message packet." Col. 5, lines 43-59). Since authorized external devices connect the VPN network via a logical channel as shown in fig. 1, and communication happens via established secure tunnel (already connected user), it is inherent that the established connection of device 12(m) uses an identified and recognized/approved logical connection (via tunneling protocol), where the firewall 30 receives a message packet from the device 12(m) over the secure tunnel and transfers the message packet to the device in the private network over the communication link 33. (col. 5, lines 43-65, see also col. 12, lines 1-40 and col. 9, lines 46 to col. 10, line